

Day 2 Session 1 breakout 1: What needs to be fixed and added (near term): for group 1

1. What problems have been encountered for the analysis?
 - Precipitation – radar miss-alignment (Eric coverage is okay but maximum is off)
 - QC issues: bad data goes into the analysis (we recommended to adjust QC threshold value checks); Users are interested in the new QC procedure of LAPS;
 - Problems with SODAR(?) data.
2. Is the analysis well balanced?
 - WRF initialization issues, particularly 0-15 min forecast has some balance problems.
 - Isobaric analysis may cause some imbalance.
3. Have you compared LAPS analysis against any other data assimilation systems (3-4DVAR, enkf)?
 - Some comparison with 3DVAR and it appeared LAPS is better for the 2009 winter cases;
4. Any issues related to the hotstart?
 - Consider humidity to cloud relationship: generate cloud for high humidity areas.
 - Use satellite hydrometer for humidity;
 - Low cloud: snow and cloud distinction.
5. Is the cloud analysis satisfactory?
 - Some layer problems (Eric solved it)
6. Are there any observation dataset that should be considered to add to our analysis?
 - Precipitation observation for correction of LAPS radar analysis;
 - Dual pol radar data
 - Tower data (LAPS already has it);
 - Lidar data
7. Have you had issues of radar and satellite data assimilation?
 - Eric developed a surface ice 3.9 micron relationship that we might want to look at.
 - Better documentation on LAPS LVD file satellite data format.